

Canal Winchester

COMMUNITY CENTER
22 SOUTH TRINE STREET
Canal Winchester, OH 43110



Meeting Minutes

April 27, 2020

7:00 PM

Landmarks Commission

Pete Lynch - Chairman
David Craycraft - Vice Chairman
Rich Dobda - Secretary
Roger White
Jamoya Cox
Dr. Scott Kelly
Whit Wardell

Call To Order

Time In: 6:58pm

Declaring A Quorum (Roll Call)

A motion was made by Rich Dobda, seconded by Roger White that Jamoya Cox be excused from the meeting.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 – Dave Craycraft, Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda, Dr. Scott Kelly & Whit Wardell

Excused: 1 – Jamoya Cox

Approval of Minutes

February 24, 2020 Landmarks Commission Meeting Minutes

A motion was made by David Craycraft, seconded by Peter Lynch, that the February 24, 2020 Minutes be approved.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 – Dave Craycraft, Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda, Dr. Scott Kelly & Whit Wardell

Pending Applications

**Due to a technical error with the audio, the following minutes are a summary of the discussions for the applications.*

CA-20-003

Property Owner: Joe Abbott

Applicant: Joe Abbott

Location: 89 Park Street

Request: New vinyl siding and other exterior modifications

Mr. Moore presented the application for Joe Abbott for property located at 89 Park Street. The applicant is requesting approval to install new vinyl siding on the residential home. Staff discussed that the existing home has an 8" aluminum siding on the main portion of the home and a T1-11 trim in the upper gables. The structure currently features aluminum awnings and aluminum storm windows over vinyl interior windows. The existing detached garage was refaced with vinyl siding at some point in the past.

The applicant is requesting approval to remove the aluminum awnings and siding on the home. The replacement siding will be a 4" vinyl siding tan in color and the eaves will have a similar tan vinyl shake siding. The plans call for trimming out the area between the two siding materials with a hardi-board trim and all of the window areas will also get a hardi trim panel. The trim is proposed to be white and color to break up the façade design without appearing too busy. The applicant would also like to paint the foundation block a dark grey.

The commission discussed the applicants request at length noting that they have denied several vinyl siding requests in the past few years and denied a vinyl siding request on this same street. The applicant noted that the existing aluminum siding is starting to age and needs to be replaced. Given the character of the area and the size of the home a natural material did not seem to fit the area or be cost appropriate. The applicant further noted that they are adding more natural details with the hardi trim to make up for the use of a vinyl product.

Mr. Craycraft asked the applicant if the vinyl siding proposed was a wood grain or smooth. The applicant indicated that he was planning on smooth.

Mr. Craycraft asked if the rear porch was going to get siding. The applicant indicated it was going to stay just get painted. The windows and doors will remain as-is as well.

The commission discussed the request and asked the applicant if they would be willing to add additional vertical hardi trim on the edges of the home to further increase the natural material usage. The applicant expressed concern about the trim making the design feel boxy and too much white lines. The commission recommended the vertical trim be the same tan color as the siding while the horizontal trim between the two siding materials be white to break them apart. The applicant affirmed.

The commission noted that the rear gable on the home does not have T1-11 on it now and asked if the applicant could make that area the shake siding to match the other sides of the home. The applicant affirmed.

The commission asked the applicant if he could install hardi trim along the frieze board within the gables. The applicant affirmed that he would.

The commission discussed at length whether the vinyl shake siding should be the same color as the horizontal siding or white. The commission determined that the shake siding should be either the same color or a darker tone of the vinyl horizontal siding like the sample pictures provided by the applicant.

The applicant asked the commission what color the foundation and block chimney should be on the home. As proposed they are a dark grey. The commission noted the chimney should match the siding and the foundation should be a lighter shade of tan than the siding not a dark grey. The applicant affirmed.

The commission discussed at length that this discussion is very tough. This neighborhood features many pre-built homes that were dropped in place and not constructed to be unique like many of the homes in the rest of the architectural review district. The commission asked staff why this area was adopted into the Landmarks Review District. Staff indicated that they were unsure but in 2003 Council grabbed a lot of additional property where the homes were 50 years old or older because the context of the Old Town is determined by these additional properties.

The commission asked the applicant if he would wrap the front porch metal column with a more traditional square look with upper and lower caps to increase some dimension to the structure. The applicant affirmed. The commission also noted that the side railing may also be removed as it is not necessary.

The applicant noted that the shingles on the roof were changed recently to a tan dimensional shingle that will tie into the new siding request. The commission affirmed.

A motion was made by Peter Lynch, seconded by Roger White that Certificate of Appropriateness #CA-20-003 be approved as presented with the following conditions:

- 1. The window trim, door trim, freeze board & siding trim be a hardi-board or equal product.**
- 2. The front porch post be enclosed to a decorative column.**
- 3. The gable on the rear of the house have the same shake vinyl siding as the front.**
- 4. The foundation color be a lighter shade of the siding color.**

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 – Dave Craycraft, Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda, Dr. Scott Kelly & Whit Wardell

CA-20-004

Property Owner: Amy Homan
Applicant: Amy Homan
Location: 79 North High Street
Request: New decorative privacy fence

Mr. Moore presented the application for Amy Homan for property located at 79 North High Street. The applicant is requesting approval for a new decorative horizontal fence around the rear yard. Staff indicated that the fence style is with

a horizontal slat design with gaps between the boards. The material is proposed to be a natural cedar, IPE or a Mangaris.

The applicant indicated that the fence design was going to be constructed with the posts on the outside of the fence due to the neighboring property to the north. They are going to attach the horizontal boards directly to the neighbors fence so that way all sides of the fence are consistent. The fence should not be visible from High Street.

The commission asked the applicant about the fence board material. The applicant stated that they have narrowed down their choice to natural cedar.

A motion was made by Dave Craycraft, seconded by Dr. Scott Kelly that Certificate of Appropriateness #CA-20-004 be approved as presented.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 – Dave Craycraft, Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda, Dr. Scott Kelly & Whit Wardell

CA-20-005

Property Owner: RRCT LLC
Applicant: Bob Wood II
Location: 3-7 South High Street
Request: Paint Exterior Cornices

Mr. Moore presented the application for Bob Wood for property located at 3-7 South High Street. The applicant is requesting approval to paint the upper cornice of the building to “Mouses Back No. 40” by Farrow+Ball Chicago. Staff presented a physical sample of the paint color to the commission noting it is a grey/brown tone.

The applicant indicated that a lot of the buildings have the same yellow crème trim and they are looking to change this building up while also making the cornice trim more inline with the sandstone on the building to tie the renovations all together.

A motion was made by David Craycraft, seconded by Whit Wardell that Certificate of Appropriateness #CA-20-005 be approved as presented.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 5 – Dave Craycraft, Roger White, Rich Dobda, Dr. Scott Kelly & Whit Wardell.

Abstain : 1 – Peter Lynch

Old Business

New Business

Adjournment

Time Out: 8:07pm

A motion was made by David Craycraft and seconded by Peter Lynch, that this meeting be adjourned.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 – Dave Craycraft, Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda, Dr. Scott Kelly & Whit Wardell

Date

Landmarks Chairman